**Special Note: The following procedures do not supersede state regulation, but are merely additional guidance an analyst may consider useful.**

**The *Corporate Governance Annual Disclosure Model Act (#305)* and *Corporate Governance Annual Disclosure Model Regulation (#306)* provide a summary of an insurer or insurance group’s corporate governance structure, policies and practices to permit the Commissioner to gain and maintain an understanding of the insurer’s corporate governance framework. As of the date of this publication, most states had not adopted such legislation. The following procedures are applicable to only those states that have adopted such legislation.**

**All other states should instead consider completion of applicable questions within the Operational and Strategic risk repositories of this Handbook based upon the level of concern an analyst may have with management performance and the driving forces behind operations. The risk repositories may also be used by an analyst of a state that has obtained the disclosure for an insurer or insurance group subject to the aforementioned corporate governance disclosure. However, the analyst should avoid duplicate information requests.**

**Introduction**

Model #305 and #306 requires an insurer, or an insurance group, to file a summary of an insurer or insurance group’s corporate governance structure, policies and practices with the commissioner by June 1 of each calendar year. Model #305 allows the information to be at the ultimate controlling parent level, an intermediate holding company level and/or the individual legal entity level, depending upon how the insurer or insurance group has structured its system of corporate governance. Because most corporate governance is driven at a controlling or intermediate holding company level, this guidance is contained within this section dealing with group supervision. Although by inclusion in this section, reviewing the corporate governance disclosure of a group is a responsibility of the lead state, the approach on this is different from that taken with the Own Risk Solvency and Analysis (ORSA). This is because it’s common for most groups to have different layers of governance that is important in achieving the objectives of the group. More specifically, most groups have some level of governance at the individual legal entity level. However, because it is common for legal entity governance to be a less significant aspect of the governance objectives, even those companies that incorporate governance at the individual legal entity level are likely to include materially less documentation on such, may instead summarize such processes and list those entities for which they exist.

**Non-Lead State Reliance on the Lead State Analysis of Corporate Governance Annual Disclosure:**

Model #305 requires the filing to be made with the lead state; however, non-lead domestic states may request the CGAD filing from the insurer. Because the filing may be made on a group basis or legal entity basis, it may contain group information that applies to all insurers within the group or it may contain information applicable to a specific legal entity.

It may be necessary or acceptable for the lead state to share its work papers with another state, related to such filing, provided such information is shared in accordance with the confidentiality provisions of Model #305. This is because similar to other solvency regulation models, Model #305 contemplates both off-site and on-site examination of such information. The Lead State can share the analysis of the filing through NAIC tools (i.e., iSite+ Regulator File Sharing System) or other means deemed appropriate. Before a non-lead states requests the CGAD filing or conducts a full review of CGAD to determine its impact on their domestic insurers, non-lead domestic states should consider obtaining and reviewing the Lead State’s analysis of CGAD to reduce duplication of analysis efforts.

**To the extent the Lead State’s analysis of the Corporate Governance Annual Disclosure (CGAD) addresses policies and practices of the group applicable to the non-lead state’s domestic insurer, that analysis may be leveraged by the non-lead state to reduce the analysis work of the non-lead state.** If the Lead State’s analysis of CGAD does not assess the impact on the non-lead state’s domestic insurer or the CGAD is on a legal entity basis, the non-lead domestic state should consider a review of CGAD. Analysis steps are included in the non-Lead State analysis procedures.

*Procedures #1 - 2* assist the analyst in reviewing the Corporate Governance disclosure for completeness and help guide the analyst through each of the major items of information required by Model #306.

*Procedures #3 - 5* assist the analyst in summarizing any concerns relative to the insurer or insurance group’s corporate governance and its impact.
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